

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,859	10/12/2006	Sabrina Morel	05-656	6063	
34704 BACHMAN &	7590 06/11/2010 & LAPOINTE, P.C.)	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL			HUSON, MONICA ANNE		
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN	J. CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/551,859	MOREL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MONICA A. HUSON	1791		

	110/1/1.1100014	1101						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wif for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1. periods:	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit ith appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the	final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON NOTE: OF THE PRIME PROFILE STATES AND ADDRESS OF THE PRIME PROFILE STATES.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which	ich the netition under 37 CER 1 13	86(a) and the appropriate	extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	n and the corresponding amount on ned statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension to Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the filed w	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially red	ucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	ponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	d 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se 	e attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	-							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable								
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 18-23.26-33 and 35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffice was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcon showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and v 	me all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ne status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet. 	NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/S	SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								

/Monica A Huson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new features of claim 18 as well as the new subject matter of claims 36-41 require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as amended and added raise new issues that require further consideration and search.